Audit Date Opinion Date December 31, 2005 April 28, 2006 We have audited the financial statements of this local unit of government and rendered an opinion on financial stawith the Statements of the Government in Michigan by the Michigan Department of Treasury.  We affirm that:  1. We have complied with the Bulletin for the Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan as revised.  2. We are certified public accountants registered to practice in Michigan.  We further affirm the following. "Yes" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the no and recommendations.  You must check the applicable box for each item below:  yes no 1. Certain component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are excluded from the financial yes no 2. There are accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/retailyes no 3. There are instances of non-compliance with the Uniform Accounting and Budgeting Act ( yes no 4. The local unit has violated the conditions of either an order issued under the Municipal Financial sude under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act.	atements preparmat for Finance otes, or in the r statements. ined earnings (P.A. 2 of 1968	pared in accordance acial Statements for report of comments (P.A. 275 of 1980).			
December 31, 2005  April 28, 2006  We have audited the financial statements of this local unit of government and rendered an opinion on financial state with the Statements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the Uniform Reporting For Counties and Local Units of Government in Michigan by the Michigan Department of Treasury.  We affirm that:  1. We have complied with the Bulletin for the Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan as revised.  2. We are certified public accountants registered to practice in Michigan.  We further affirm the following. "Yes" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the no and recommendations.  You must check the applicable box for each item below:  yes on 1. Certain component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are excluded from the financial yes on 2. There are accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/retailyes on 3. There are instances of non-compliance with the Uniform Accounting and Budgeting Act ( yes on 4. The local unit has violated the conditions of either an order issued under the Municipal Fina order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act.	atements preparmat for Finance otes, or in the r statements. ined earnings (P.A. 2 of 1968	pared in accordance acial Statements for report of comments (P.A. 275 of 1980).			
We have audited the financial statements of this local unit of government and rendered an opinion on financial state with the Statements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the <i>Uniform Reporting Fort Counties and Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> by the Michigan Department of Treasury.  We affirm that:  1. We have complied with the <i>Bulletin for the Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> as revised.  2. We are certified public accountants registered to practice in Michigan.  We further affirm the following. "Yes" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the no and recommendations.  You must check the applicable box for each item below:  yes  no 1. Certain component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are excluded from the financial yes  no 2. There are accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/retailyes  no 3. There are instances of non-compliance with the Uniform Accounting and Budgeting Act ( yes  no 4. The local unit has violated the conditions of either an order issued under the Municipal Fina order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act.	otes, or in the r statements. ined earnings (P.A. 2 of 1968	report of comments (P.A. 275 of 1980). 8, as amended).			
with the Statements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the <i>Uniform Reporting Ford Counties and Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> by the Michigan Department of Treasury.  We affirm that:  1. We have complied with the <i>Bulletin for the Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> as revised.  2. We are certified public accountants registered to practice in Michigan.  We further affirm the following. "Yes" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the no and recommendations.  You must check the applicable box for each item below:  yes on 1. Certain component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are excluded from the financial yes on 2. There are accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/retailyes on 3. There are instances of non-compliance with the Uniform Accounting and Budgeting Act ( yes on 4. The local unit has violated the conditions of either an order issued under the Municipal Fina order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act.	otes, or in the r statements. ined earnings (P.A. 2 of 1968	report of comments (P.A. 275 of 1980). 8, as amended).			
<ol> <li>We have complied with the <i>Bulletin for the Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> as revised.</li> <li>We are certified public accountants registered to practice in Michigan.</li> <li>We further affirm the following. "Yes" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the no and recommendations.</li> <li>You must check the applicable box for each item below:         <ul> <li>yes</li> <li>no</li> <li>Certain component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are excluded from the financial yes</li> <li>no</li> <li>There are accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/retail yes</li> <li>no</li> <li>There are instances of non-compliance with the Uniform Accounting and Budgeting Act (</li> <li>yes</li> <li>no</li> <li>The local unit has violated the conditions of either an order issued under the Municipal Fina order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	statements. ined earnings (P.A. 2 of 1968	s (P.A. 275 of 1980). 8, as amended).			
and recommendations.  You must check the applicable box for each item below:  yes no 1. Certain component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are excluded from the financial yes no 2. There are accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/retain yes no 3. There are instances of non-compliance with the Uniform Accounting and Budgeting Act ( yes no 4. The local unit has violated the conditions of either an order issued under the Municipal Fination order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act.	statements. ined earnings (P.A. 2 of 1968	s (P.A. 275 of 1980). 8, as amended).			
<ol> <li>yes</li></ol>	ined earnings (P.A. 2 of 1968	8, as amended).			
<ul> <li>yes ⋈ no</li> <li>5. The local unit holds deposits/investments which do not comply with statutory requirement [MCL 129.91] or P.A. 55 of 1982, as amended [MCL 38.1132])</li> <li>yes ⋈ no</li> <li>6. The local unit has been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for and</li> </ul>	yes no 5. The local unit holds deposits/investments which do not comply with statutory requirements. (P.A. 20 of 1943, as amended [MCL 129.91] or P.A. 55 of 1982, as amended [MCL 38.1132])				
yes one of the local unit has been definiquent in distributing tax revenues that were conected for and yes one of the local unit has violated the Constitutional requirement (Article 9, Section 24) to fund current year. If the plan is more than 100% funded and the overfundament, no contributions are due (paid during the year).	rent year earne	ed pension benefits			
yes one of the local unit uses credit cards and has not adopted an applicable policy as required by F. a. 196 of 1997 (MC).  yes one of the local unit uses credit cards and has not adopted an applicable policy as required by P.A. 196 of 1997 (MC).		995 (MCL 129.241).			
We have enclosed the following:  Enclosed	To Be Forwarded	Not Required			
The letter of comments and recommendations.					
Reports on individual federal assistance programs (program audits).					
Single Audit Reports (ASLGU).					
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name): PLANTE & MORAN, PLLC					
Street Address City St	tate ZI	'IP			
27400 Northwestern Highway Southfield M	II 48	8037			
Accountant Signature					

Financial Report
with Required Supplemental Information
December 31, 2005

	Contents
Report Letter	I
Management's Discussion and Analysis	2-3
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Plan Net Assets	4
Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6-12
Required Supplemental Information	13
Schedule of Analysis of Funding Progress	14
Schedule of Employer Contributions	15





27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

### Independent Auditor's Report

To the Retirement Board City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System

We have audited the accompanying statement of plan net assets of the City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System (a component unit of the City of Pontiac, Michigan) as of December 31, 2005 and the related statement of changes in plan net assets for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the General Employees' Retirement Board of the City of Pontiac. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System as of December 31, 2005 and the results of its operations for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The management's discussion and analysis and required supplemental information (identified in the table of contents) are not required parts of the basic financial statements but are supplemental information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management, regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Plante : Moran, PLLC



# **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

### **Using this Annual Report**

This annual report consists of three parts: (I) management's discussion and analysis (this section), (2) the basic financial statements, and (3) required supplemental information. The financial statements also include notes that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detailed data. The statements are followed by a section of required supplemental information that further explains and supports the information in the financial statements.

### **Condensed Financial Information**

The table below compares key financial information in a condensed format between the current year and the prior year:

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31			
		2005		2004
Total assets	\$	489,069,164	\$	476,831,091
Total liabilities:				
Amounts due broker under securities lending				
agreement		52,857,655		46,743,999
Other liabilities		754,175		657,582
Total liabilities		53,611,830		47,401,581
Assets held in trust for pension benefits	\$	435,457,334	\$	429,429,510
Net investment gain	\$	23,113,895	\$	33,722,655
Net securities lending income		100,187		79,396
Contributions:				
Employee - Reinstated members		20,291		9,709
Employer		16,926		13,613
Retiree pension and annuity benefits		16,562,544		14,546,774
Benefits paid in excess of contributions		16,525,327		14,523,452
General and administrative expenses		660,931		226,698
Net increase in net assets held in trust	\$	6,027,824	\$	19,051,901

# **Management's Discussion and Analysis (Continued)**

### **Overall Fund Structure and Objectives**

The City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System (the "System") exists to pay benefits to its members and retirees. Active members earn service credit that entitles them to receive benefits in the future. Benefits currently being paid are significantly greater than contributions currently being received. The excess of benefits over contributions must be funded through investment income. The public capital markets represent the primary source of investments.

## **Asset Allocation**

The System has established asset allocation policies, which are expected to deliver sufficient investment income over a very long period of time to satisfy the obligations to pay the benefits promised to the members of the System. The following is a summary of the adopted asset allocation as of December 31, 2005:

Domestic equities	40%
International equities	10%
Domestic fixed income	36%
Global fixed income	8%
Real estate	5%
Cash	1%

### **Investment Results**

While returns were down from the prior year, both the domestic equity and domestic bond markets posted positive returns during the past year. In 2005, U.S. equities were again helped by strong profits, as well as by the expectation that the federal reserve would raise rates only one or two more times during the first quarter of 2006 and may even begin to lower rates by the end of 2006. U.S. bonds were helped by benign inflation reports and continued foreign investment in domestic bonds. The domestic equity market, as measured by the S&P 500 Index, returned 4.9 percent for the year. The fixed income market, as measured by the Lehman Aggregate Index, returned 2.0 percent for the year. The international equity market, as measured by the MCSI EAFE (non-U.S.) Index returned 13.5 percent for the year. The total fund returned 5.44 percent for the year.

The System's total fund return must always be considered in a longer-term context. The asset allocation of each fund is built upon the foundation that the obligations of the System to pay the benefits promised to members and retirees are very long-term obligations. Accordingly, the board of trustees must make investment decisions that it believes will be the most beneficial to the System over many years, not just one or two years.

# Statement of Plan Net Assets December 31, 2005

Assets		
Cash (Note 3)	\$	100,560
Cash and investments held as collateral for securities lending (Note 3)		52,857,655
Accrued interest and dividends receivable		1,856,576
Accounts receivable:		
Due from broker for pending transactions		170,213
Due from GERS VEBA		41,010
Other receivable		10,806
Investments at fair value - Including loaned securities (Note 3):		
Money market funds		9,220,300
Preferred securities		145,677
Convertible securities		75,000
U.S. government agency notes and debentures		27,236,151
Corporate and other bonds		69,382,733
Equities		259,256,365
U.S. government agency mortgage pools		52,706,906
Real estate	_	16,009,212
Total investments		434,032,344
Total assets		489,069,164
Liabilities		
Due to City of Pontiac		268,975
Due to City of Pontiac Police and Fire Retirement System		3,117
Accounts payable		482,083
Amounts due broker under securities lending agreement (Note 3)		52,857,655
Total liabilities	_	53,611,830
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits (a schedule of funding progress is presented in the required supplemental information)	<u>\$</u>	435,457,334

# Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets Year Ended December 31, 2005

Additions		
Investment income:		
Interest and dividend income	\$	13,449,020
Net appreciation in fair value		11,542,640
Investment advisor fees		(1,877,765)
Net investment income		23,113,895
Securities lending income:		
Interest and fees		1,716,985
Less borrower rebates and bank fees		(1,616,798)
Net securities lending income		100,187
Contributions:		
Employer		16,926
Employee - Reinstated members		20,291
Total additions and investment income		23,251,299
Deductions		
Retirees' pension benefits and retirement incentives		16,562,544
Other expenses		311,996
Charges from the City of Pontiac - Administrative expenses		348,935
Total deductions		17,223,475
Net Increase in Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits		6,027,824
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits - January 1, 2005		429,429,510
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits - December 31, 2005	<b>\$</b>	435,457,334

# Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2005

# **Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

The City of Pontiac (the "City") sponsors and administers the General Employees' Retirement System (the "System") (a contributory single-employer retirement plan) that covers substantially all employees of the City, except police and fire employees.

**Reporting Entity** - The financial statements of the System are also included in the combined financial statements of the City as a pension trust fund. The assets of the pension trust fund include no securities of or loans to the City or any other related party. At December 31, 2005, there were no investments in any one organization in excess of 5 percent of net assets available for benefits.

**Basis of Accounting** - The System's financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Employer contributions are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan.

Methods Used to Value Investments - Investments are reported at fair value. Short-term investments are reported at cost, which approximates fair value. Securities traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Mortgages are valued on the basis of future principal and interest payments and are discounted at prevailing interest rates for similar instruments. The fair value of real estate investments is based on independent appraisals or audited financial statements.

# **Note 2 - Plan Description and Contribution Information**

At December 31, 2005, the date of the most recent actuarial valuation, membership consisted of the following:

Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving pension benefits and	
terminated employees entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them	1,302
Current employees:	
Fully vested	179
Nonvested	296
Total current employees	475

# Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2005

# Note 2 - Plan Description and Contribution Information (Continued)

**Plan Description** - The System provides retirement benefits, as well as death and disability benefits. Employees may receive cost-of-living adjustments as a percentage of their base amount, pursuant to the collective bargaining agreement in effect at their date of retirement. The obligation to contribute to and maintain the System was established by City ordinance and negotiation with the employees' collective bargaining units.

**Contributions** - Plan members are not required to contribute. The City is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. During the year, only the Stadium Authority Division had a required contribution. Administrative costs are financed through investment earnings.

# **Note 3 - Deposits and Investments**

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The System is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan

The System is also authorized by Michigan Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended, to invest in certain reverse repurchase agreements, stocks, diversified investment companies, annuity investment contracts, real estate leased to public entities, mortgages, real estate (if the trust fund's assets exceed \$250 million), debt or equity of certain small businesses, certain state and local government obligations, and certain other specified investment vehicles.

The System has designated one bank for the deposit of its funds. The investment policy adopted by the board in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment in all allowable investments under Michigan Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended. The System's deposits and investment policies are in accordance with statutory authority. The System's cash and investments are subject to several types of risk, which are examined in more detail below:

# Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2005

# Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

## **Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits**

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the System's deposits may not be returned to it. The System does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the System had approximately \$1,000 of bank deposits (certificates of deposit, checking, and savings accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The System believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the System evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

### **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk that the value of investments will decrease as a result of a rise in interest rates. The System's investment policy restricts the average duration of an actively managed portfolio not to exceed seven years. At year end, the average maturities of investments are as follows:

		Weighted Average
Investment	 Fair Value	Maturity
Asset-backed securities	\$ 2,966,645	4.47 years
CMBS	2,492,750	22.73 years
CMO corporate	5,640,729	29.94 years
CMO government agencies	9,512,867	18.41 years
Commercial mortgages	523,145	25.47 years
Corporate	49,163,828	7.52 years
Government	44,634,978	9.72 years
Other	9,089,281	0.08 years
U.S. government mortgages	31,216,706	25.60 years
U.S. private placements	2,149,535	8.31 years

# Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2005

# Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

### **Credit Risk**

State law limits investments in commercial paper to the top two ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The System's investment policy limits investments in domestic fixed-income securities to not less than a B rating for an overall average quality of each high-yield portfolio; the overall quality rating of each high-grade portfolio must be AA or an equivalent rating; for domestic equity investments, the securities must be the equivalent of Standard & Poor's AI or Moody's P-I; for global bonds, the overall average quality must be AA or higher. As of year end, the credit quality ratings of debt securities are as follows:

Fair Mark			
Investment Type		Value	Moody's Rating
Asset-backed securities	\$	2,521,341	AAA
Asset-backed securities		323,391	ВІ
Asset-backed securities		139,604	AGY
CMBS		2,510,407	AAA
CMO Corporate		2,901,050	Unrated
CMO Corporate		2,756,724	AAA
CMO government agencies		9,555,276	AGY
Commercial mortgages		526,038	A2
Corporates and other credit		6,619,606	ΑI
Corporates and other credit		4,757,098	A2
Corporates and other credit		1,560,371	A3
Corporates and other credit		4,564,941	AAI
Corporates and other credit		5,504,523	AA3
Corporates and other credit		4,123,557	AAA
Corporates and other credit		1,983,695	AGY
Corporates and other credit		3,262,161	ВІ
Corporates and other credit		2,593,701	B2
Corporates and other credit		531,581	В3
Corporates and other credit		1,455,198	BAI
Corporates and other credit		3,756,717	BA2
Corporates and other credit		4,900,609	BA3

# Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2005

# Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

	Fair Market		
Investment Type		Value	Moody's Rating
Corporates and other credit	\$	695,841	BAAI
Corporates and other credit		806,954	BAA2
Corporates and other credit		1,682,024	BAA3
Corporates and other credit		1,361,509	WR
Government		2,360,027	AA2
Government		9,099,474	AGY
Government		33,730,598	UST
Multinational Fixed Income Fund		33,333,963	Unrated
U.S. government mortgages		32,072,570	AGY
U.S. private placements		56,810	Unrated
U.S. private placements		615,336	ВІ
U.S. private placements		654,453	B2
U.S. private placements		58,836	В3
U.S. private placements		35,640	BAI
U.S. private placements		359,450	BA2
U.S. private placements		496,470	BA3

### **Concentration of Credit Risk**

The System places no limit on the amount it may invest in any one issuer. The investment in CAPROC, totaling approximately \$11,693,000, represents approximately 2.7 percent of the System's net assets. Two of the 12 members on the CAPROC board are General Employees' Retirement System trustees.

# Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2005

## Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

# **Foreign Currency Risk**

Foreign currency risk is the risk that an investment denominated in the currency of a foreign country could reduce its U.S. dollar value as a result of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The pension system limits total assets in international equities and fixed income funds to 19 percent of the total pension systems market value. The following securities are subject to foreign currency risk:

	Fair Market	Mutual	
	Value	Funds	Equity
Australian Dollar	\$ 1,097,665	\$ 1,943	\$ 1,095,722
Brazil Real	48,670	469	48,201
British Pound Sterling	6,511,828	14,913	6,496,915
Bulgarian Lev	12,834	-	12,834
Canadian Dollar	96,753	118	96,635
Czech Koruna	122,923	-	122,923
Danish Krone	423,880	41	423,839
Estonia Kroon	36,744	-	36,744
Euro Currency Unit	17,628,568	11,082	17,617,486
Hong Kong Dollar	404,966	-	404,966
Hungarian Forint	260,244	1,125	259,119
Indonesian Rupian	187,867	-	187,867
Japanese Yen	8,083,879	2,012	8,081,867
Mexican New Peso	219,543	1,378	218,165
New Turkish Lira	2,243,316	-	2,243,316
New Zealand Dollar	68,797	6,291	62,506
Norwegian Krone	964,600	-	964,600
Philippines Peso	98,764	1,061	97,703
Polish Zloty	2,033,202	-	2,033,202
Romanian Leu	266,777	-	266,777
Russian Rubel (New)	92,762	-	92,762
S. African Comm Rand	1	1	-
Singapore Dollar	42,380	1,692	40,688
South Korean Won	551,103	-	551,103
Swedish Krona	1,794,561	-	1,794,561
Swiss Franc	3,648,464	114,996	3,533,468

# Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2005

### Note 3 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

As permitted by state statutes and under the provisions of a securities lending authorization agreement, the System lends securities to broker-dealers and banks for collateral that will be returned for the same securities in the future. The System's custodial bank manages the securities lending program and receives cash as collateral. Borrowers are required to deliver collateral for each loan equal to not less than 100 percent of the market value of the loaned securities. During the year ended December 31, 2005, only United States currency was received as collateral.

The System did not impose any restrictions during the fiscal year on the amount of loans made on its behalf by the custodial bank. There were no failures by any borrowers to return loaned securities or pay distributions thereon during the fiscal year. Moreover, there were no losses during the fiscal year resulting from a default of the borrowers or custodial bank.

The General Employees' Retirement System and the borrower maintain the right to terminate all securities lending transactions on demand. The cash collateral received on each loan was invested, together with the cash collateral of other lenders, in an investment pool. The average duration of such investment pool as of December 31, 2005 was 56 days. Because the loans are terminable on demand, their duration did not generally match the duration of the investments made with cash collateral. On December 31, 2005, the System had no credit risk exposure to borrowers. The collateral held and the fair market value of underlying securities on loan for the System as of December 31, 2005 was \$52,857,655 and \$51,084,831, respectively.

### Note 4 - Reserves

State law requires employee contributions to be segregated. In addition, amounts must be set aside as determined by the actuary to fund benefits to retirees currently approved to receive benefits. As of December 31, 2005, the System's reserves have been fully funded as follows:

Reserved for employee contributions
Reserved for retired employees

\$ 4,039,718 154,326,559

Required	<b>Supplement</b>	tal Information

# Required Supplemental Information Schedule of Analysis of Funding Progress

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (Percent) (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
12/31/99* 12/31/00* 12/31/01** 12/31/02* 12/31/03 12/31/04	\$ 350,846,897 378,063,942 395,743,819 393,214,033 394,367,065 394,807,254	\$ 209,172,136 217,942,909 227,901,435 235,422,367 247,396,857 258,365,787	\$ (141,674,761) (160,121,033) (167,842,384) (157,791,666) (146,970,208) (136,441,467)	167.7 173.5 173.6 167.0 159.4 152.8	\$ 18,747,510 18,728,688 19,887,803 20,039,136 20,807,612 21,320,477	- - - - -

<sup>\*</sup> Plan amended

<sup>\*\*</sup> Certain assumptions revised

# Required Supplemental Information Schedule of Employer Contributions

	Annual		
Year Ended	Required		Percentage
December 31	Contribution		Contributed
1998	\$	598,231	165.40
1999		133,572	218.9
2000		175,021	100.0
2001		139,583	119.0
2002		46,888	166.0
2003		10,608	100.0
2004		2,767	392.9
2005		16,926	100.0

The information presented above was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of December 31, 2004, the latest actuarial valuation, is as follows:

Actuarial cost method	Individual entry age normal cost		
Amortization method	Level percent of payroll, closed, and open periods		
Remaining amortization period	12 - 20 years		
Asset valuation method	Market value with five-year smoothing of gains and losses		
Actuarial assumptions:			
Investment rate of return*	7.5%		
Projected salary increases*	4.5% to 9.4%		
*Includes inflation at	4.5%		
Cost-of-living adjustments	2% (2.5% Court/MAPE) of original amount, subject to a maximum that varies by group		





27400 Northwestern Highway P.O. Box 307 Southfield, MI 48037-0307 Tel: 248.352.2500 Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

June 23, 2006

To Mr. Charlie Harrison III, Chairman (GERS)
To Mr. Craig Storum, Chairman (PFRS)
To Mr. Ray Cochran, Chairman (General VEBA)
To Mr. Brian Lee, Chairman (Police and Fire VEBA)
To the City of Pontiac Retirement Board's
General Employees Retirement System
and Police and Fire Retirement System
47450 Woodward Ave
Pontiac, MI 48342

Dear Mr. Harrison, Mr. Storum, Mr. Cochran, and Mr. Lee:

We recently completed the audits of the General Employees Retirement System and the Police and Fire Retirement System (the "Systems"), as well as the related VEBAs, for the year ended December 31, 2005. We continue to be impressed with the organized and thorough manner in which Deborah Munson prepared for the audit. Her assistance in preparing the necessary schedules was greatly appreciated. As a result of the audit, we offer the following comments and recommendations for your consideration:

### **Retiree Health Care Benefits**

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board recently released Statement Number 45, Accounting and Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. The new pronouncement provides guidance for local units of government in recognizing the cost of retiree health care, as well as any "other" postemployment benefits (other than pensions). The intent of the new rules is to recognize the cost of providing retiree health care coverage over the working life of the employee, rather than at the time the health care premiums are paid.

The new pronouncement will require the Systems to obtain a valuation of the obligation to provide retiree health care benefits, including an amortization of the past service cost over a period of up to 30 years. The valuation must include an annual recommended contribution (ARC). While the ARC does not need to be funded each year, any underfunding must be reported as a liability on the government-wide statement of net assets.



2

The GASB statement has provided substantial incentive to fund the obligation in accordance with the annual recommended contribution. In addition to the normal fairness issue of paying for a service as you use it, the GASB has directed that lower rates of return be used for evaluating the annual recommended contribution in situations where the recommended contribution is not being funded. This will significantly increase the calculation of the following year's contribution. As a result, funding the contribution on a current basis should reduce the long-run cost. The accounting change will become effective for the Systems for the year ended December 31, 2008. GASB Statement Number 43, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, is effective for the year ending December 31, 2007. This statement impacts the reporting and disclosures made by the plan.

### **Document Storage**

As mentioned in the past, the Systems are responsible for keeping many important documents and records that often cannot be recreated (i.e., board minutes, member files, financial records, etc.). Given the very limited space allocated to the Systems' offices, it is difficult to provide adequate storage. It is imperative that the Systems maintain storage space that is not only well organized, but is also secure and fireproof. We recommend that the Systems review their current operating space and document storage facilities in an effort to improve conditions in order to ensure the safety of the related records.

In the past, we have suggested looking into document imaging and understand the Systems have begun to research various options as they relate to different imaging systems. Document imaging would mitigate the need to retain paper files for extended periods. Documents would instead be scanned to create an electronic backup. Document imaging, while not necessarily a new technology, is being used increasingly as a means to provide a safe and effective back-up solution while maximizing space limitations.

We also continue to suggest that the Systems should consider various aspects of disaster recovery. If there were a fire or other catastrophe and the paper records and/or computer system were destroyed, the Systems should have a disaster recovery plan in place.

### **Other Accounting Matters**

During our testing, we noted various miscellaneous differences between the amounts recorded as receivables/payables from/to other systems. For example, the receivable from the Police and Fire VEBA recorded in the Police and Fire Retirement System is different from the payable recorded in the Police and Fire VEBA. This also occurred in some of the other systems. Although all the amounts were small, we recommend that the Systems go through and reconcile the differences to make sure the receivables and payables mirror each other.

We would like to thank the Systems' staff for the excellent cooperation extended to us during the audit. We look forward to discussing our comments and recommendations with you. If you have any questions or require assistance in the implementation of our recommendations, please call us at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

Plante & Moran, PLLC

Beth A. Bialy

Beth A. Bialy

Wendy Trumbull

Wendy N. Trumbull